

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,451	02/06/2004	Shinji Hada	Q79747	6719
23373 75	90 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			WILSON, DEMARIS R	
2100 PENNSYI SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,451	HADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DeMaris R. Wilson	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. Eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	06 February 2004.					
,	,—					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-8 is/s 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	are withdrawn from considerat	on.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1,2, & 4, drawn to an apparatus for press molding a molding material, classified in class 425, subclass 406.
- II. Claims 3 & 5, drawn to a method of press molding a glass optical element, classified in class 65, subclass 102.
- III. Claims 6-8, drawn to a method of press molding a molding material, classified in class 264, subclass 663.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for example press molding a resin or polymeric substance.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

materially different process, for example monitoring rotational displacement due to moment.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, for example a resin element or metal substrate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Alan Kasper on November 18, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, and did result in an election being made.

During the telephone conversation with Mr. Alan Kasper on November 18, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of a method of press molding a glass optical element, claims 3 and 5. Affirmation of this election

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451

Art Unit: 1731

must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1,2,4,6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komiyama et al (US 5346522). Komiyama et al discloses an apparatus that contains the following:

- A mold comprising first and second die (ref col. 2 line 46)
- Driving/moving means for said die(s) (ref col. 2 line 51)
- Heating means for heating dies (ref col. 2 line 48)
- Detection means for control (340/350) (ref col. 8 line 47)
- A controller of moving distance of die(s) (ref col. 8 line 54)

Komiyama et al. discloses a method for using aforesaid apparatus to press mold optical glass elements (ref col. 2 line 62 - col.3 line 7). The reference discloses such a method by where a mold is supplied with a heated preform to later press mold into desired glass optical element. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made coviously want to use Komiyama et al.'s patented disclosure to accomplish

Application/Control Number: 10/772,451 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

applicant's method of press-molding a glass optical element via Komiyama's molding apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DeMaris R. Wilson whose telephone number is 571.272.6377. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DeMaris R. Wilson Examiner Art Unit 1731

DRW (12/1/2006)

DIONNE A. WALLS MAYES

PRIMARY EXAMINEH